HIGH IMPACT – A TOP 10 BUSINESS JOURNAL FOR LAST THREE YEARS

- IF 4.147
  - RANK # 7 / 120 in the Business Category
- ABS Academic Journal Quality Guide Rating: 3
- 2015: FBRs rating increased from a 2 to a 3 which is defined as a ‘highly regarded’ journal

Please see chart below for FBR’s Impact Factor since 2007:

![Impact Factor Chart](chart.png)

Source: Journal Citation Reports® (Thomson Reuters, 2015)

FBR’s performance compares well with leading entrepreneurship journals on the Financial Times list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>2 Year IF</th>
<th>2 Year Ranking*</th>
<th>5 Year IF</th>
<th>5 Year Ranking*</th>
<th>ABS rating</th>
<th>Immediacy Index**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family Business Review</td>
<td>4.147</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.011</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrepreneurship Theory &amp; Practice</td>
<td>3.414</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.681</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Business Venturing</td>
<td>4.204</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.097</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.818</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Out of 120 in Business category  ** How quickly articles in a journal get cited

GLOBAL INTEREST & REACH

- In 2016, FBR had 9,534 subscribers in 166 countries
- In the last 5 years (2012-2016), more than 805 manuscripts from scholars based in 68 countries were submitted for publication consideration in FBR
- In 2016, 264 manuscripts from first authors based in 43 countries were submitted for publication consideration in FBR. US remained the top submitting country followed by UK, Germany, and Spain. 2015 submissions were received in 2015; 245 in 2014; 235 in 2013; 222 in 2012
**ACCEPTANCE RATIO**

- 10.9% in 2016

**HIGH USAGE**


**EFFICIENT EDITORIAL OFFICE**

- Average turnaround time from submission to first decision
  2016 – 30.1 days; 2015 – 27.99 days; 2014 – 30.23 days
- Average turnaround time from submission to final decision
  2016 – 42.2 days; 2015 – 25.72 days; 2014 – 38.5 days
- Articles accepted in FBR in 2016 appeared on-line in 22 days on an average

**SPECIAL ISSUES**

http://journals.sagepub.com/collection-index/fbr

2018  2nd Review Issue (Holt, Payne, Pearson, Sharma – in process)
2017  Process & Variance Methods (Carr, Payne, Pearson)
2016  1st Review Issue (Short, Lumpkin, Pearson, Sharma)
2014  Social issues in family enterprises (Craig, Dibrell, Neubaum, van Gils)
2014  Temporal issues in family enterprises (Salvato, Reay, Sharma)
2013  Advising family enterprises (Reay, Pearson, Dyer Jr.)
2012  25 years of FBR (Sharma, Chrisman, Gersick)
2012  Measures and methods (Sharma, Carney)
2011  Marketing (in) the family business (Reuber & Fischer)
2010  Accounting – FB (Salvato & Moores)
2009  Family capital, family business and free enterprise (Sorenson & Bierman)

**Best Paper Awards**

*For articles published in FBR in 2015

Selection committee: Eileen Fischer, Tom Lumpkin, Mike Wright (Chair, Becky Reuber)

**Winner** ($2500, Sponsored by Lansberg, Gersick and Associates):


**Honourable Mention:**

Reviewer Awards

FBRs editorial team makes the selections for the best reviewer awards. These awards are reserved for the 114 members of FBRs editorial review board. The following criteria is used to make it to FBRs Best Reviewer List:

- Completed at least 3 reviews with a minimum of 4 rating; OR at least 2 with a rating of 5.
- The average turnaround time must not exceed 25 days

The 2016 winners are:

**Outstanding Reviewer ($1,000 award sponsored by Resource Management Inc.):**

Evelyn Micelotta, University of New Mexico, USA
- Reviewed 5 manuscripts all with perfect score from two different editors
- Average turnaround time 18 days

**Excellent Reviewers:**

Keith Brigham, Texas Tech University, USA
Andrea Calabrò, Witten/Herdecke University, GERMANY
Carole Couper, University of Glasgow, UK
Cristina Cruz, IE Business School, SPAIN
Bart Debicki, Towson University, USA
Rocki-Lee DeWitt, University of Vermont, USA
Josip Kotlar, Lancaster University, UK
John Perry, Wichita State University, USA
Amedeo Pugliese, University of Padua, ITALY
Philipp Sieger, University of Bern, SWITZERLAND
Vanessa Strike, University of British Columbia, CANADA

The awards will be presented at FFIs annual conference.

**SCHOLARLY PUBLICATIONS ON FAMILY BUSINESS BY DECADE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decade</th>
<th>Articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 1970</td>
<td>111 articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970s</td>
<td>135 articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980s</td>
<td>306 articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990s</td>
<td>2,281 articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000s</td>
<td>5,654 articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 – 2015</td>
<td>5,155 articles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(at this rate, this decade could yield over 10,000 articles)

(Sharma 2015, FBR March editorial)
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
The Job of a Journal Editor. 

An Emotions Perspective for Advancing the Fields of Family Business and Entrepreneurship: Stocks, Flows, Reactions, and Responses. 

Oh, the Places We’ll Go! Reviewing Past, Present, and Future Possibilities in Family Business Research. 

Reviewing: An Editor’s Perspective. 

Referencing in Scholarly Articles: What is Just Right? 

It takes a village: Ethical publishing of family business research. 

Voordeckers, W., Miller, D. & Le-Breton Miller, I. (2014). 
In search of the best of both worlds: Crafting a finance paper for FBR. 

Publishing qualitative research. 

Sustaining a publications career. 

The Anatomy of a Paper. 

Defending Family Business Research: The Role of Authors as Defense Attorneys. 

“That’s Interesting!” in Family Business Research. 

Measurement in Family Business Research: How Do We Measure Up? 

Marketing (in) the Family Firm. 

What Constitutes a Theoretical Contribution? 

Craig, J.B. (2010). 
Desk Rejection: How to Avoid Being Hit by a Returning Boomerang. 

Research on Accounting in Family Firms: Past Accomplishments and Future Challenges. 

Strengthening Your Literature Review. 

The Craft of Reviewing. 

Chenail, R. J. (2009). 
## TOP ACCESSED ARTICLES IN 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Downloads</th>
<th>Article</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,275</td>
<td>Jeremy C. Short, Pramodita Sharma, G. Thomas Lumpkin, Allison W. Pearson <em>Oh, the Places Well Go! Reviewing Past, Present, and Future Possibilities in Family Business Research</em> Mar 01, 2016 29: 11-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,243</td>
<td>Pascual Berrone, Cristina Cruz, Luis R. Gomez-Mejia <em>Socioemotional Wealth in Family Firms: Theoretical Dimensions, Assessment Approaches, and Agenda for Future Research</em> Sep 01, 2012 25: 258-279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,232</td>
<td>Dean A. Shepherd <em>An Emotions Perspective for Advancing the Fields of Family Business and Entrepreneurship: Stocks, Flows, Reactions, and Responses</em> Jun 01, 2016 29: 151-158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,132</td>
<td>Sanjay Goel, Raymond J. Jones <em>Entrepreneurial Exploration and Exploitation in Family Business: A Systematic Review and Future Directions</em> Mar 01, 2016 29: 94-120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
148 researchers from 25 countries were on FBR’s masthead in 2016 serving on the editorial team (12) and the three boards - Advisory (9), Review (115), and Research Applied (12). The ad hoc reviewers who have generously lent their time and expertise to FBR are listed in December issue.

A highly capable team of thoughtful practitioner scholars on our Research Applied Board work with assistant editor Karen Vinton to prepare practitioner executive summaries of articles accepted in FBR. Podcasts and Blogs are prepared for selected articles as well. All material is posted alongside the article.

Josh Daspit is the Social Media Editor of FBR and developed an online social media presence on Twitter (@FBRJournal). From October 15 through December 15, 2016 we gained 650 followers; sent 50 tweets, received 200+ retweets. Nearly 1000 users engaged with the information posted, and the tweets were seen over 133,000 times. Many tweets included insights from the latest journal publications, so if you have not yet followed FBR on Twitter, we invite you do to so. Users do not have to subscribe to Twitter to view the updates as the account is publicly available at http://www.twitter.com/fbrjournal.

Suggestions welcome psharma@bsad.uvm.edu