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### Text of the manuscript: key points

- Microsoft Word file, Arial 12 point font, left-justified, 1 inch margins on all side, double-spaced, number every page, use continuous line numbering
- **Full-length manuscripts:** Title page, Abstract (≤250 words), Keywords (119 characters, use MeSH terms), Introduction (heading omitted), Materials and Methods (include statement on ethical treatment of animals; follow ARRIVE guidelines when relevant), Results, Discussion, Acknowledgements, References, Figure legends. Submit Tables as separate files.
- **Brief communications and case reports:** Abstract (≤150 words), Keywords, Body of the text (<1700 words) with no subheadings, Acknowledgements, References (n≤20), Figure legends, No more than two plates of 8 figures total.
- Cite figures and tables in the order they appear in the text
- Title page: informative and descriptive title, authors names, institutions, contact information of corresponding author
- Copying material from other manuscripts, including the author’s own work, constitutes plagiarism and is unacceptable

### Figures: key points

- Number each figure separately (1, 2, …). Letters (1a, 1b, …) are used only for figures showing different staining methods on the same sample.
- Label each figure with the figure number: lower left corner, white, 14-point Arial font, no border
- Save images at 300 dpi, 90 or 180 mm wide, TIF file format
- Group photos as a rectangular plate 180 mm wide and ≤180 mm high (such as a plate 2-3 photos wide x 2-4 photos high), with no white space between photos
- Exclude scale bars (except from electron micrographs)
- Typical legend format: Figure 1. Diagnosis, organ or tissue, species, case number. Sentence description. Staining method. Group similar figures to avoid repetition of text.
- Supplemental Figures: name as Supplemental Figure S1, etc.; include the name (S1) in the lower left corner of the figure. Supplemental Figure legends grouped in a separate Word file.

### Reference format: key points

- In text citations are listed in superscript after the punctuation as shown.¹,²,⁴,⁸
- Arrange the list of references alphabetically, numbered consecutively
Submission and Evaluation of Manuscripts

Submit all articles via Manuscript Central: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/vetpath

If you do not have access to the necessary resources for online submission, please contact Jill Findlay, Managing Editor (vetpathjournal@outlook.com).

Authors are urged to consult a recent issue of the journal and follow the style therein, as this follows the 10th Edition of the AMA Manual of Style. Veterinary Pathology will also consider manuscripts prepared according to the Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals (New Engl J Med 336:309–315, 1997). Please indicate in your cover letter and as a footnote on the title page of your manuscript that you have prepared your manuscript in the Uniform Requirements format. Only original papers written in American English will be accepted. An editing service including translation from Spanish, Portuguese or Chinese is available from the publisher, SAGE; use of this service does not guarantee acceptance of the paper by the journal.

Submission of a manuscript requires each author to have an Open Researcher and Contributor identification (ORCID iD). ORCID provides a unique and persistent digital identifier that distinguishes researchers from every other researcher, even those who share the same name, and, through integration in key research workflows such as manuscript and grant submission, supports automated linkages between researchers and their professional activities, ensuring that their work is recognized. The collection of ORCID IDs from corresponding authors is now part of the submission process of this journal. If you already have an ORCID ID you will be asked to associate that to your submission during the online submission process. We also strongly encourage all co-authors to link their ORCID ID to their accounts in our online peer review platforms. It takes seconds to do: click the link when prompted, sign into your ORCID account and our systems are automatically updated. Your ORCID ID will become part of your accepted publication’s metadata, making your work attributable to you and only you. Your ORCID ID is published with your article so that fellow researchers reading your work can link to your ORCID profile and from there link to your other publications. If you do not already have an ORCID ID please follow this link to create one or visit our ORCID homepage to learn more.

You will be asked to provide contact details and academic affiliations for all co-authors via the submission system and identify who is to be the corresponding author. These details must match what appears on your manuscript. The affiliation listed in the manuscript should be the institution where the research was conducted. If an author has moved to a new institution since completing the research, the new affiliation can be included in a manuscript note at the end of the paper. At this stage please ensure you have included all the required statements and declarations and uploaded any additional supplementary files (including reporting guidelines where relevant).

Manuscripts submitted to Veterinary Pathology are evaluated by the editorial staff. If the manuscript is potentially suitable, peer reviewers are normally selected by the Associate Editor. Veterinary Pathology operates a single-blind reviewing policy in which the reviewer’s name is concealed from the submitting author.

As part of the submission process, the corresponding author will be asked to provide the names of peers who could be called upon to review your manuscript. Recommended reviewers should be experts in their fields and should be able to provide an objective assessment of the manuscript. Authors should not recommend reviewers who would have a conflict of interest, including (but not limited to) the following guidelines:

- The reviewer should have no prior knowledge of your submission
- The reviewer should not have recently collaborated with or have a personal relationship any of the authors
- Reviewer nominees from the same institution as any of the authors are not permitted

Editors are not obliged to invite any recommended reviewers to assess your manuscript. Authors may
request that specific individuals be excluded as reviewers, but the decision to use or not use opposed reviewers is made by the editors.

Acceptance of a manuscript for publication is determined by the Editor and Associate Editor based on the peer review, scientific merit and value to readers. This decision may be made in consultation with other editorial staff and/or the Editorial Board. Appeals of decisions should be directed to the Managing Editor (Email: vetpathjournal@outlook.com).

When re-submitting a revised manuscript, upload a clean copy of the manuscript as the manuscript file, and also upload a marked-up copy as a Supplemental File (enabling editors and reviewers to see changes that were made from the original version). Authors must include a response letter that addresses each of the reviewer’s and editor’s comments, in order.

Reviewer’s comments should be taken as constructive criticisms by experts in the subject area, and reviews lead to substantial improvement in the quality of scientific manuscripts. Nonetheless, authors are not obliged to make changes as suggested by the reviewers, if a compelling rebuttal or counter-argument can be stated. After one or more reviews of the manuscript, the editorial staff will decide if the reviewer’s and editors’ comments have been satisfactorily addressed.

Editorial Policies

Scope and Criteria for Acceptance

Veterinary Pathology publishes basic and applied research involving domestic, laboratory, and zoo animals, wildlife, poultry, and other animals. The scope of the journal includes novel descriptions of pathologic changes, clinical pathology and clinical-pathologic correlations for natural and experimental diseases of animals, investigations of the molecular and cellular mechanisms of disease (general pathology) and other aspects of pathogenesis, descriptions and use of animal models of human disease, and studies of pharmaceutical and environmental hazards.

Manuscripts considered for publication must:

1. have significant importance to animal and/or human health,
2. include new knowledge supported by valid data,
3. address disease mechanisms (pathogenesis, pathophysiology), or pathologic findings in important new or emerging diseases, or clinico-pathologic correlations, AND
4. be of sufficiently broad interest to be of substantial value to veterinary pathologists.

Thus, manuscripts should characterize previously unrecognized diseases, advance our ability to more accurately diagnose diseases, identify pathologic features that indicate prognosis or appropriate therapy, or provide an important comparative viewpoint. Results of in vitro experiments or genetic analyses may be suitable if they reveal aspects of pathogenesis, or advance knowledge in understanding of disease mechanisms, pathogenesis, pathologic diagnosis, or clinical relevance of pathologic findings. Descriptions of novel methods relevant to the practice of veterinary pathology are considered, as are papers that describe or validate animal models of human disease, enhance the translational study of animal models, or are relevant to animals used in pharmacologic studies.

Veterinary Pathology is the official journal of the American College of Veterinary Pathologists, the European College of Veterinary Pathologists, and the Japanese College of Veterinary Pathologists. The Editor-in-Chief is responsible for the editorial content of the journal—including peer-reviewed manuscripts—and the timing of its publication. The aforementioned Colleges do not interfere with the recruitment, evaluation or editing of individual scientific manuscripts submitted to the journal, but they do contribute to the strategic direction of the journal.

Authorship

Papers should only be submitted for consideration once consent is given by all contributing authors.
Those submitting papers should carefully check that all those whose work contributed to the paper are acknowledged as contributing authors. The list of authors should include all those who can legitimately claim authorship. This is all those who:

(i) made a substantial contribution to the concept and design, acquisition of data or analysis and interpretation of data,
(ii) drafted the article or revised it critically for important intellectual content, and
(iii) approved the version to be published. Please refer to the ICMJE Authorship guidelines.

**Research Ethics, Ethical Treatment of Animals, and Consent of Animal Owners**

Submitted manuscripts should conform to the ICMJE Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals.

Studies involving live animals (or samples that were obtained from live animals for the purpose of the study) must be approved by an Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). In the Methods section of the manuscript, state the full name and institution of the IACUC, in addition to the approval number. Furthermore, studies must be carried out in accordance with all applicable institutional, local, and national guidelines and laws. These guidelines may include, but are not solely limited to, the National Institutes of Health’s Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, which can be obtained from the Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare, the Guide for the Care and Use of Agricultural Animals in Agricultural Research and Teaching, available from the Federation of Animal Science Societies, and the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. Manuscripts may be rejected if the editors suspect unnecessary or unacceptable animal suffering, even if IACUC approval was provided.

Studies involving samples or records that are owned by the author’s institution (such as archived samples owned by a diagnostic laboratory) may not require IACUC approval or informed consent of the animal’s original owners, depending on the requirements of the institution and local laws. In such circumstances, the journal does not require a statement of IACUC approval or informed owner consent. Authors must ensure that they have obtained appropriate permission from the institution or individuals who own the samples or records, prior to submitting a manuscript that involves analysis of those samples.

For studies in which samples, data or photographs are collected from privately owned animals (such as client-owned animals), please state in the Methods whether written or verbal informed owner consent was obtained, or include a statement explaining why such consent was not necessary. Do not submit the actual written informed consent with your article, as this breaches confidentiality. As mentioned above, such consent may not be necessary if ownership of diagnostic specimens is transferred to the author’s institution.

Reports that include surveys of human subjects must include a statement that the survey was approved by an institutional ethics board. In the Methods section of the manuscript, state the full name and institution of the research ethics board, in addition to the approval number.

Please also refer to the ICMJE Recommendations for the Protection of Research Participants

Medical research involving human subjects must be conducted according to the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

**Availability of supporting research data**

Manuscripts reporting original research must include a statement of the data availability at the end of the Methods, which informs readers of whether the underlying data will be made available or not, and if so the location at which readers can access the data (and, when relevant, the date when the data will be made available and any restrictions on availability). This statement may take the following form: *The data analyzed in this study are / are not available as Supplemental Materials* (or by stating the name of the repository, permanent weblink, request to the author, etc.).

Authors are strongly encouraged to include detailed tables of individual-animal raw data as Supplemental Materials, in an effort to promote transparency and openness in communication of scientific findings, to allow readers increased confidence in the findings, and to provide case series data as reference information to the scientific community.
At SAGE we are committed to facilitating openness, transparency and reproducibility of research. Where relevant, The Journal encourages authors to share their research data in a suitable public repository subject to ethical considerations and where data is included, to add a data accessibility statement in their manuscript file. Authors should also follow data citation principles. For more information please visit the SAGE Author Gateway, which includes information about SAGE’s partnership with the data repository Figshare.

**Duplicate or Related Publication, and Plagiarism**

Submission of a manuscript implies commitment to publish in *Veterinary Pathology*. Manuscripts submitted to the journal must not be under consideration for another journal, nor should manuscripts of similar form or with substantially similar content have been submitted, accepted or published elsewhere. In the cover letter accompanying the manuscript, the author must disclose to the editor all submissions and previous reports that might be regarded as prior, duplicate or related publication of the same or similar work, along with a statement of how the submitted and prior works differ. Copies of such material should be included with the submitted paper, as Word or PDF files that are uploaded as supplemental information files. Failure to notify editors of substantially similar previously published work would normally result in rejection of the manuscript.

As part of the submission process you will be required to warrant that you are submitting your original work, that you have the rights to the work and that you have obtained and can supply all necessary permissions for materials used in the study and for the reproduction of any copyright works not owned by you, that you are submitting the work for first publication in the Journal and that it is not being considered for publication elsewhere and has not already been published elsewhere. Please see our guidelines on prior publication.

Studies forming a novel investigation of previously published case material must clearly state the relationship to the prior work. In circumstances in which the manuscript predominantly represents material already published, please contact the editor to determine if sufficient new material is presented to warrant publication. A poster or oral presentation at a conference (if the published abstract is less than 350 words), or publication of findings in a thesis as part of a graduate degree, are not normally considered to interfere with publication of the same material as a peer-reviewed paper.

The Journal may accept submissions of papers that have been posted on pre-print servers; please alert the Editorial Office when submitting (contact details are at the end of these guidelines) and include the DOI for the preprint in the designated field in the manuscript submission system. Authors should not post an updated version of their paper on the preprint server while it is being peer reviewed for possible publication in the journal. If the article is accepted for publication, the author may re-use their work according to the journal's author archiving policy. If your paper is accepted, you must include a link on your preprint to the final version of your paper.

Duplicate or redundant publication or copying from other manuscripts without disclosure is unethical. Plagiarism includes copying material from other publications, including copying from the author’s own work that is already published elsewhere. Undisclosed duplicitous, redundant or plagiarized manuscripts will be rejected or retracted, and the author’s institution may be notified.

**Publication of Reprinted Material**

Authors are responsible for obtaining permission from copyright holders for reproducing any illustrations, tables, figures or lengthy quotations previously published elsewhere. Note that most published material is protected by copyright, and reprinting such material without permission is prohibited. For further information including guidance on fair dealing for criticism and review, please visit our Frequently Asked Questions on the SAGE Journal Author Gateway.

**Conflict of Interest Policy: Authors**

Authors should state any potential conflicts of interest at the time of submission of the manuscript. Such information will not alter established editorial and review policies but will assist the editorial staff in avoiding any potential conflicts that could give the appearance of a biased review.

**Financial support.** To comply with the guidance for Research Funders, Authors and Publishers issued by
Veterinary Pathology: Instructions to Authors

the Research Information Network (RIN), Veterinary Pathology requires all Authors to acknowledge their funding in a consistent fashion under a separate heading. Please visit Funding Acknowledgements on the SAGE Journal Gateway to confirm the format of the acknowledgment text in the event of funding or state in your acknowledgments that: “This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.” This section is completed during the process of online submission of the manuscript. If those who provided financial support had a role in the research or its publication, this role should be stated. If the study evaluates a commercial or candidate pharmaceutical product or medical device, the authors must disclose support in a cover letter when the manuscript is submitted for initial review, unless the association of the author with the sponsoring company is obvious. The editor will hold such information in confidence. If the manuscript is accepted for publication, the editor will discuss with the authors how such information is to be communicated to the reader.

Financial interest. Authors must disclose in the submission letter as well as in the “Declaration of Conflicting Interests” any financial interest (including employment, consultancies, and honoraria) in a company (or its competitor) that produces or benefits from a product under discussion, or a diagnostic test or therapy of a disease relevant to the manuscript. The “Declaration of Conflicting Interests” section is completed during the process of online submission of the manuscript.

Publication of papers dealing with a commercial or candidate pharmaceutical product or medical device or diagnostic test does not convey or imply an endorsement by the journal Veterinary Pathology or the American College of Veterinary Pathologists, the European College of Veterinary Pathologists, or the Japanese College of Veterinary Pathologists.

Conflict of Interest Policy: Reviewers

Potential reviewers of all manuscripts submitted to Veterinary Pathology are asked to consider any potential conflicts of interest they may have before agreeing to review a manuscript.

Conflicts of interest include work at the same institution, current collaborative research, co-authorship in the past 5 years, close personal relationships, or competing business or academic relationships with any of the authors of the manuscript. Such associations or relationships may not disqualify a potential reviewer; however, if a reviewer is concerned about a possible conflict, the circumstances should be discussed with the editorial staff. We expect that reviewers with a substantial conflict of interest will disqualify themselves from reviewing a manuscript.

More information as well as general Instructions to Reviewers is on the journal website and on the publisher’s website.

Exclusive License to Publish

To publish a manuscript, we require a signed Exclusive License to Publish agreement from one author (usually the corresponding author) with the understanding that all authors have seen and agreed to the contents of the manuscript. The form will be reviewed and signed online at the time of acceptance. Under the agreement, the author retains copyright to the work and grants an exclusive license to SAGE to publish the article and its contents.

Employees of the federal government are required to indicate this affiliation on the same form. Any financial disclosures or declarations of potential conflicts of interests should be listed on the agreement.

Wellcome Trust Open Access Policy

SAGE is fully compliant with the Wellcome Trust Open Access Policy. Any article published by SAGE that has had an Article Processing Charge (APC) funded by the Wellcome Trust will be published under a Creative Commons, Attribution (CC BY) licence, Version 4 (effective 1st April 2017) and will be automatically deposited in PubMed Central (PMC) on behalf of the author without embargo.
To facilitate this, it is essential that the corresponding author indicates that their work is Wellcome Trust funded when they submit their work by selecting the relevant FundRef ID in the manuscript submission. SAGE audits the funding status of all of our Open Access articles on a regular basis. If for any reason the correct process has not been followed, SAGE undertakes to make any required adjustments in a timely manner.

These commitments also apply to APCs paid for by all other partners of the Charity Open Access Fund (COAF): Arthritis Research UK, Bloodwise, British Heart Foundation, Cancer Research UK, Parkinson’s UK.

General Information for All Types of Manuscripts

Margins and Fonts
Electronic text files should be submitted as Word files. Manuscripts should be prepared using Arial 12 point font. Margins on all sides should be at least 25 mm (1 inch), with no right justification.

All sections of the manuscript should be double-spaced. Every page should be numbered. Add continuous line numbers (in Word: Layout tab—Text layout—Line numbers—Continuous).

Arrangement of Sections
There are more specific guidelines for full-length manuscripts, brief communications and case reports; however, the general arrangement of the sections of the manuscript is as follows:

• Title page
• Abstract
• Keywords
• Introduction (untitled; no heading is included)
• Materials and Methods
• Results
• Discussion
• Acknowledgements
• Declaration of conflicting interests
• Funding
• References
• Figure legends
• Tables (submit as separate files)

Use of Case Numbers
Number cases starting with case 1 regardless of your particular numbering system. Laboratory case numbers should not be used. Include case numbers in all sections of the manuscript where the cases are described or discussed (eg, case 1–10, case 2, 3, and 5).

Citation of Figures and Tables
Figures and tables are numbered by the order they are cited in the text. For example, the first figure cited in the text is Figure 1, the second cited is Figure 2, etc.

Notes in the text (eg, the manufacturer name, or personal communications) are put in parentheses at the appropriate location within the text. Footnotes are used only for tables and are not used in the body of the text.

Abbreviations and Nomenclature
Abbreviations should be intuitive, kept to a minimum, only for words used ≥5 times in the manuscript, and defined at their first mention within the body of the article; for example, “neuron-specific enolase (NSE).”
Avoid abbreviations in the abstract. Abbreviations used in tables are spelled out in full in a footnote. Abbreviations used in figure legends should be defined once in each plate of figures.

For anatomic terminology, use the English equivalents of terms used in Nomina Anatomica Veterinaria, and Nomina Histologica Veterinaria. Names of infectious agents should follow published standards for viruses (ICTV, International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses), bacteria (List of prokaryotic names with standing in nomenclature, or Bacterial nomenclature up-to-date) and fungi (Species Fungorum or Mycobank). Bacterial names (genus and species) are italicized (Mannheimia haemolytica). Virus names are written in lower case (except for those which are a proper name) and are not italicized (bovine herpesvirus-1, West Nile virus) except when referring generally to the concept of the taxonomic grouping. For listing the genetic strains of mice, use the correct strain/stock/line designation available from the company providing the mice (for example, Mouse Genome Informatics, Jackson Laboratory). Disease names should be those in generally accepted use.

**Title Page**

The first page should include:
- the full title of the paper
- the full name of each author (eg. first name, middle initials if needed, last name)
- the names and locations of the institutions where the work was conducted, with the authors' initials in parentheses after the appropriate institution; for example: Tokyo University (SH, TK).
- the address, telephone number, fax number, and e-mail address of the corresponding author.

Authors should create concise, informative and descriptive titles that clearly inform readers of the content of the manuscript. Titles should, where relevant, include the key aspects describing the nature of the work such as the species of animal, name of the disease, specific molecules or cells, disease mechanism, pathogenesis or the clinical-pathologic correlation that is the focus of the work. Titles that focus on the subject of the work are generally more effective that those based on the methods.

**Abstract**

The abstract should be informative rather than descriptive, and 250 words or less (≤150 words for Brief Communications and Case Reports). The abstract should briefly summarize the rationale and methods for the study, but most of the abstract should be a detailed summary of the findings and their significance. Absolute numbers of subjects (either animals or tissue samples) should be given with the percentage in parentheses (eg. “75/250 tissues (30%) stained positively” (but, do not state the percentage if the denominator is less than 10). The species of animal, the number of animals studied, the organ system or tissue evaluated, the technology or methods used, and the disease process should be mentioned in the abstract, as well as alternative names for the disease or causative agent when relevant. Abstracts of review papers should name the topics covered and provide a summary of the main content, rather than simply stating the objectives of the review.

**Keywords**

Identify key words (up to 119 characters with spaces) and place them after the abstract in alphabetic order. Where possible, use terms from the medical subject headings (MeSH) list of the U.S. National Library of Medicine. The species of animal, the organ system or tissue studied, the technology or methods used, and the disease process should be listed, as well as alternative names for the disease or causative agent when relevant, and other appropriate terms likely to be used by those conducting an internet search. Where possible, these keywords should be repeated in the abstract.

**Tables**

Tables are used to present key comparisons, summarized data, and selected information of major importance to the outcome of the study. In contrast, the following should be included only as Supplemental Tables: individual-animal data for >20 animals, raw data, data of lesser importance to the study’s
conclusions, or large data tables that do not fit on a single page of the printed journal.

Tables should be submitted as separate Word or Excel files, not as part of the main document. Tables must be editable and cannot be embedded images in a Word document. Tables are named in the order that they are cited in the text (Table 1, Table 2, etc.).

Table must fit on a single page of the printed journal and must not contain more than 130 characters (including spaces) per row. Larger tables may be included as Supplemental Materials. The title of the table should be complete so that the reader is able to understand the content without reference to the text; the title may be more than one sentence. All parts of a table must be double-spaced and set in full-size type. Omit all vertical lines from the table format. The contents of cells within a column should be balanced to avoid disparity in the amount of text. Empty cells are not permitted; use n/d or n/a if the analysis was not done or not applicable. Rows or columns with identical values should be omitted and mentioned as a footnote or in the text.

Footnotes are designated by superscript letters in order of presentation within the table (\textsuperscript{a}This is the note for the first notation in the table. \textsuperscript{b}This is the second note.). A note that applies to the table as a whole should be located at the end of the table title with a superscript letter \textsuperscript{a} (Table Title\textsuperscript{a}). All abbreviations are included in a single footnote, and not shown by a superscript letter.

**Figures**

**Figure numbering.** Figures are numbered (Fig. 1, Fig. 2, etc.) in the order cited in the text. Do not use Fig. 1a, 1b, 1c, etc., except for different staining techniques on the same sample. **\textsuperscript{**}Figure numbers must be placed in the lower left corner of images and should be set in white or black 14-point Arial font with no border.

**Image file format.** Images should be TIF files with a resolution of 300 pixels per inch for color and 600 pixels per inch for black and white, and at 90 or 180 mm wide (i.e., one column width or two column widths). The image mode for color figures is RGB, and for black and white figures is Grayscale.

**Grouping of images.** Figures are usually grouped as a plate with edges directly apposed. Plates must consist of sequentially numbered figures, arranged horizontally in the appropriate order, and they must form a perfect square or rectangle with no white space between or around images. Do not add a border around images; white borders are added later by the publisher. See recent issues of the journal for examples of appropriate figure arrangement in plates. Plates are either 90 or 180 mm wide (1 or 2 columns) and no more than 180 mm high.

Each figure file should contain a single figure or plate. The editors may regroup figures as required to meet typesetting requirements. Effective methods of presentation include plates that are 2-3 photos wide (180 mm) by \(\leq 4\) photos tall (\(\leq 180\) mm), or 1 photo wide (90 mm) by 2 or 3 photos tall (\(\leq 180\) mm). Other arrangements are acceptable, depending on the total number of photos to be submitted and how large the images need to be for optimal viewing.

**Photographic quality and modifications.** Gross and light microscopic images must be in color. Correct anatomic orientation should be maintained; for instance, the surface of the skin should be at the top of the figure, and where possible gross photos should be taken with the head to the right (but the orientation of existing photos must not be flipped).

Photos of microscopic lesions must not contain photographic or tissue artefacts. The images must be evenly lit, and backgrounds of photomicrographs must be white. Gross images should be presented against a clean, uniform, evenly colored background. Before the images are submitted, unacceptable backgrounds (grass, surgery drapes and other textured cloth, rulers, necropsy numbers, etc.) must be removed or the figure prepared with a uniform background of a suitable color.

Image modification or enhancement is acceptable if applied to the entire image, such as changing the brightness, contrast or color balance. It is acceptable to modify the background of specimen photos.
Changing a localized area of the tissue or lesion is not normally considered acceptable; it is essential that modifications do not affect the veracity of what is shown, and the image submitted must match the appearance of the real specimen.

Do not use scale bars in gross or histologic images, except in rare cases where their use is critical to the understanding of the image; justification for their use must be included in the cover letter. If required, the bar or scale should be about 1 cm long, placed in the lower right of the image, and its equivalent value (10, 25, 100 µm, etc.) given in the legend, never on the image itself. Scale bars should be included in electron micrographs.

Histologic photos may include an inset such as a higher magnification if needed.

For graphs, the text labels should be in Arial font, at sufficient size to be easily read in the published size of the figure.

Cost of color figures. Authors are allowed two free color plates (that is, two color pages up to 180 x 180 mm), and the cost of remaining plates will be the responsibility of the authors. The cost for each additional color plate will be US $250. The author will be billed directly by SAGE.

Obtaining permission to publish reprinted material, including reprinting figures from published papers, is the responsibility of the corresponding author.

Figure Legends
Each figure must have a legend. Figure legends should be placed in a separate section at the end of the manuscript within the main Word document, and this section must not contain embedded figures. When uploading figure files to Manuscript Central, please do not upload the individual figure legends directly (leave this option blank).

Information described in the legend must be clearly visible in the photo; do not describe other findings of the study that are not visible in the photo. Abbreviations used in figure legends should be defined once in each plate of figures.

Figure legends should be concisely written. For gross and microscopic images, figure legends should be based on the following style as appropriate: Figure 1. Diagnosis, organ or tissue, species, case number if needed. Brief description of the change that is visible in the photo. Name of the stain or labeling method (eg. hematoxylin and eosin, Masson’s trichrome, immunohistochemistry for cytokeratin). For immunohistochemistry, other styles may be used to avoid repetition, such as “The neoplastic cells have cytoplasmic immunolabelling for cytokeratin”.

For figure panels that include multiple images with the same diagnosis, figure legends should be grouped to avoid repetition. For example, Figures 1-4. Leishmania infantum chagasi infection, right atrial myocardium, dog. Figure 1. Amastigotes in the cytoplasm of a macrophage. Immunohistochemistry for Leishmania. Figure 2. Lymphocytes infiltrate the subepicardial region. Hematoxylin and eosin (HE). Figure 3. There is coagulative necrosis of cardiac myocytes. HE. Figure 4. The interstitium is expanded by collagen. Masson’s trichrome.

For illustrations of other laboratory data such as graphs and photos of gels, figure legends should briefly mention the methodology and indicate the important findings where necessary, at a level of detail that allows the reader to understand the data being presented. However, the text of the materials and methods or the results should not be repeated.

Supplemental Figure legends should be submitted in a separate Word file.
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